

Project Name	Smart Grid Technology - A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
Work Package Number & Title	Wp8
Deliverable Name	WP8 Dissemination and Sustainability Dissemination Plan
Date of Latest Review	6-10-2017
Reviewer's Name	M M Eissa
Reviewer's organization	HU
Completed Date	6-10-2017

1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	y			
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	y			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	y			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	y			
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	y			
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?	y			



2. Contents					
Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).					
Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document				x	
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description				x	
The deliverable corresponds with the activities described in the Project Application Form				x	
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?				x	
English quality of the text				x	
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?		n			
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?		n			

3. Suggested Improvements (Please add rows as needed)		
Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement
		Snap shots from the website should be clear
		Fonts should be justified for all pages
		Fonts can be changed to better one
		Table of contents should be given in hidden table to be justified
		Single space is better
		You have to get the link of the website in document
		You have to get # of viewers on the site
		Counter should be added

4. Any Other Observations (e.g. minor corrections that need attention - please add rows as needed)		
Page	Section	Observations



No.		

5. Final Conclusion (Mark with X the appropriate line)

Document accepted, no changes required.	x
Document accepted but changes required.	
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	



Project Name	Smart Grid Technology - A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
Work Package Number & Title	Wp8
Deliverable Name	WP8 Dissemination and Sustainability Dissemination Plan
Date of Latest Review	6-10-2017
Reviewer's Name	helmy sayed
Reviewer's organization	HU
Completed Date	6-10-2017

1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	y			
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	y			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	y			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	y			
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	y			
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?		N		



2. Contents					
Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).					
Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document				x	
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description				x	
The deliverable corresponds with the activities described in the Project Application Form				x	
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?				x	
English quality of the text				x	
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?		n			
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?		n			

3. Suggested Improvements (Please add rows as needed)		
Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement

4. Any Other Observations (e.g. minor corrections that need attention - please add rows as needed)		
Page No.	Section	Observations



5. Final Conclusion (Mark with X the appropriate line)	
Document accepted, no changes required.	x
Document accepted but changes required.	
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	



Project Name	Smart Grid Technology - A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
Work Package Number & Title	WP8 Dissemination and Sustainability
Deliverable Name	Dissemination Plan
Date of Latest Review	28-9-2017
Reviewer's Name	Nabil Abbasy
Reviewer's organization	AU
Completed Date	5-10-2017

1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	X			Although the Author Name appears inside the Deliverable, it needs to be added in the front page.
Does the document contain all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	X			I believe that the document should be made Confidential, rather than being Public.
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	X			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	X			
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?		X		The size of the font used in the document is 14. However, in the Template, size 14 is used for Titles and size 11 is used for the remaining text. The font size all over the



				deliverable needs to be adjusted.
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?	X			<p>1- Extra hyphens are noted in some subtitles of the report and need to be removed.</p> <p>2- In the Project Name and in the footer of the whole document, SGT-MAP is to be corrected to SGT-MaP</p>

2. Contents

Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).

Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document				X	
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description				X	
The deliverable corresponds with the activities described in the Project Application Form				X	
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?				X	
English quality of the text				X	
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?		No			
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?		No			

3. Suggested Improvements (Please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement



6	1	It is not appropriate to use the word Introduction in both title and sub-title of section 1 page 6. Title of Section 1.1 is to be changed to "About the Project"
All pages		Too much line spaces are left between section and subsection titles. This needs to be reduced
All pages		The abbreviation SGT-MaP should always be used with a small 'a', not a capital 'a'. This needs to be checked all over the document.
24		In Fig. o entitled" Team page", photos of the ream members should be included.
29	4.2.6	A 'follow stop' is missing after the first sentence in this section.

4. Any Other Observations (e.g. minor corrections that need attention - please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Observations

5. Final Conclusion (Mark with X the appropriate line)

Document accepted, no changes required.	
Document accepted but changes required.	X
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	

Project Name	Smart Grid Technology - A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
Work Package Number & Title	WP8 Dissemination and Sustainability
Deliverable Name	Dissemination
Date of Latest Review	28-9-2017
Reviewer's Name	Ragi Hamdy
Reviewer's organization	AU
Completed Date	10-10-2017

1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	X			
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	X			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	X			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	X			
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?		X		
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?		X		



2. Contents					
Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).					
Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document				X	
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description				X	
The deliverable corresponds with the activities described in the Project Application Form				X	
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?				X	
English quality of the text				X	
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?		No			
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?		No			

3. Suggested Improvements (Please add rows as needed)		
Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement

4. Any Other Observations (e.g. minor corrections that need attention - please add rows as needed)		
Page No.	Section	Observations
6	1	Line space between title and subtitle should be maintained all over the document
		SGT-MAP should be replaced by SGT-MaP



5. Final Conclusion (Mark with X the appropriate line)

Document accepted, no changes required.	
Document accepted but changes required.	X
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	



Project Name	Smart Grid Technology - A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
Work Package Number & Title	WP8 Dissemination and Sustainability
Deliverable Name	Dissemination Plan
Date of Latest Review	28/9/2017
Reviewer's Name	Karim Youssef
Reviewer's organization	Alexandria University (AU)
Completed Date	13-10-2017

1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	X			
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	X			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	X			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	X			
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	X			
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?		X		

2. Contents



Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).					
Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document				X	
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description					X
The deliverable corresponds with the activities described in the Project Application Form				x	
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?					X
English quality of the text					X
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?		No			
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?		No			

3. Suggested Improvements (Please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement

4. Any Other Observations (e.g. minor corrections that need attention - please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Observations



5. Final Conclusion (Mark with X the appropriate line)	
Document accepted, no changes required.	X
Document accepted but changes required.	
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	



Project Name	Smart Grid Technology - A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
Work Package Number & Title	WP8 Dissemination and Sustainability
Deliverable Name	Updated_SGT Dissemination_Plan
Date of Latest Review	15-03-2015
Reviewer's Name	Ahmed Bendary
Reviewer's organization	HU
Completed Date	15-03-2015

1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	x			Yes, all are included
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	x			Yes, in all pages of the plan
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	x			Yes , and it's clear
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	x			Yes, it include them
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	x			All photos require sizing
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?		x		It's ok

2. Contents

Smart Grid Technology - A Master Program [SGT-MAP]

574219-EPP-1-2016-1-UK-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP



Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).

Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document				x	
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description				x	
The deliverable corresponds with the activities described in the Project Application Form				x	
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?					x
English quality of the text				x	
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?		Yes			
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?		No			

3. Suggested Improvements (Please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement
21	all	Photos need more editing

4. Any Other Observations (e.g. minor corrections that need attention - please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Observations



--	--	--

5. Final Conclusion (Mark with X the appropriate line)	
Document accepted, no changes required.	
Document accepted but changes required.	x
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	



Project Name	Smart Grid Technology - A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
Work Package Number & Title	WP8 Dissemination and Sustainability
Deliverable Name	Updated_SGT_Dissemination_plan
Date of Latest Review	15-03-2015
Reviewer's Name	Helmy Sayed
Reviewer's organization	HU
Completed Date	15-03-2015

1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	x			Yes, all are included and obvious.
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	x			Yes, in all pages of the plan, and also include the partners logo.
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	x			Yes , it's clear and well organized.
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	x			Yes, it include them
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	x			All photos require sizing ,and more editing.
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?		x		It's ok .



2. Contents					
Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).					
Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document					x
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description				x	
The deliverable corresponds with the activities described in the Project Application Form				x	
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?					x
English quality of the text					x
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?		Yes			
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?		No			

3. Suggested Improvements (Please add rows as needed)		
Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement
21		Photos need more editing
27,28, 29		Reduce the free spaces in pages
32		Photo sizing

4. Any Other Observations (e.g. minor corrections that need attention - please add rows as needed)		
Page No.	Section	Observations



5. Final Conclusion (Mark with X the appropriate line)

Document accepted, no changes required.	
Document accepted but changes required.	x
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	



Project Name	Smart Grid Technology - A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
Work Package Number & Title	WP8 - Dissemination and Sustainability
Deliverable Name	Dissemination Plan
Date of Latest Review	28/9/2017
Reviewer's Name	Sergio Vazquez
Reviewer's organization	Universidad de Sevilla (US)
Completed Date	15/10/2017

1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	X			
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	X			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	X			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	X			
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	X			
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?		X		

2. Contents



Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).					
Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document				x	
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description					x
The deliverable corresponds with the activities described in the Project Application Form					x
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?				x	
English quality of the text				x	
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?	No				
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?	Yes	Annexes			

3. Suggested Improvements (Please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement

4. Any Other Observations (e.g. minor corrections that need attention - please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Observations
16		PARTENERS should be changed to PARTNERS
17		MANAGEMENT section does not appear on the web



5. Final Conclusion (Mark with X the appropriate line)

Document accepted, no changes required.	
Document accepted but changes required.	x
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	



Project Name	Smart Grid Technology - A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
Work Package Number & Title	WP8 Dissemination and Sustainability Dissemination Plan
Deliverable Name	
Date of Latest Review	8/9/2017
Reviewer's Name	Dr. Mohamed Ismeil
Reviewer's organization	Aswan University
Completed Date	8-10-2017

1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	Y			
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	Y			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	Y			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	Y			
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	Y			
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?		N		



2. Contents					
Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).					
Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document				X	
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description					X
The deliverable corresponds with the activities described in the Project Application Form					X
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?				X	
English quality of the text				X	
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?	No				
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?	No				

3. Suggested Improvements (Please add rows as needed)		
Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement
16	3	<p>(ABOUT Section)</p> <p>Should be "About Section" or ABOUT SECTION</p> <p><u>Format of words should be same</u></p>

4. Any Other Observations (e.g. minor corrections that need attention - please add rows as needed)		
Page	Section	Observations



No.	
	<p>punctuation marks should be taken into consideration</p>

5. Final Conclusion (Mark with X the appropriate line)	
Document accepted, no changes required.	
Document accepted but changes required.	X
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	



Project Name	Smart Grid Technology - A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
Work Package Number & Title	WP8 Dissemination and Sustainability Dissemination Plan
Deliverable Name	
Date of Latest Review	8/9/2017
Reviewer's Name	Prof. Mohamed Orabi
Reviewer's organization	Aswan University
Completed Date	7-10-2017

1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	Y			
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	Y			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	Y			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	Y			
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	Y			
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?		N		



2. Contents					
Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).					
Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document				X	
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description					X
The deliverable corresponds with the activities described in the Project Application Form					X
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?				X	
English quality of the text				X	
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?	No				
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?	No				

3. Suggested Improvements (Please add rows as needed)		
Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement

4. Any Other Observations (e.g. minor corrections that need attention - please add rows as needed)		
Page No.	Section	Observations
		There is no enough punctuation



--	--	--

5. Final Conclusion (Mark with X the appropriate line)	
Document accepted, no changes required.	
Document accepted but changes required.	X
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	



Project Name	Smart Grid Technology - A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
Work Package Number & Title	Dissemination and Sustainability
Deliverable Name	Alexandria University
Date of Latest Review	
Reviewer's Name	Prof. Mohamed Orabi
Reviewer's organization	Aswan University
Completed Date	15-3-2018

1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	X			
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	X			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	X			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	X			
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	X			
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?		X		

2. Contents



Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).					
Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document					X
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description					X
The deliverable corresponds with the activities described in the Project Application Form					X
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?				X	
English quality of the text				X	
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?	No				
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?	No				

3. Suggested Improvements (Please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement

4. Any Other Observations (e.g. minor corrections that need attention - please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Observations



--	--	--

5. Final Conclusion (Mark with X the appropriate line)	
Document accepted, no changes required.	X
Document accepted but changes required.	
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	



Project Name	Smart Grid Technology - A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
Work Package Number & Title	WP8 - Dissemination and Sustainability
Deliverable Name	Dissemination Plan
Date of Latest Review	28/9/2017
Reviewer's Name	Ramon Portillo
Reviewer's organization	Universidad de Sevilla (US)
Completed Date	11/10/2017

1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	X			
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	X			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	X			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	X			
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	X			
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?		X		

2. Contents



Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).					
Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document				x	
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description					x
The deliverable corresponds with the activities described in the Project Application Form					x
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?				x	
English quality of the text				x	
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?	No				
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?	Yes	Annexes			

3. Suggested Improvements (Please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement

4. Any Other Observations (e.g. minor corrections that need attention - please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Observations
16		PARTENERS replace by PARTNERS
17		MANAGEMENT section has disappeared from website



--	--	--

5. Final Conclusion (Mark with X the appropriate line)	
Document accepted, no changes required.	
Document accepted but changes required.	x
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	



Project Name	Smart Grid Technology - A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
Work Package Number & Title	Dissemination and Sustainability
Deliverable Name	Alexandria University
Date of Latest Review	
Reviewer's Name	Dr. Mohamed Ali Mohamed
Reviewer's organization	Aswan University
Completed Date	15-3-2018

1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	X			
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	X			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	X			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	X			
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	X			
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?		X		

2. Contents



Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).					
Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document					X
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description					X
The deliverable corresponds with the activities described in the Project Application Form				X	
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?				X	
English quality of the text				X	
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?	No				
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?	No				

3. Suggested Improvements (Please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement

4. Any Other Observations (e.g. minor corrections that need attention - please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Observations



--	--	--

5. Final Conclusion (Mark with X the appropriate line)	
Document accepted, no changes required.	X
Document accepted but changes required.	
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	



Project Name	Smart Grid Technology - A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
Work Package Number & Title	WP8 Dissemination and sustainability
Deliverable Name	Dissemination Plan
Date of Latest Review	14-5-2018
Reviewer's Name	Karim Youssef
Reviewer's organization	Alexandria University
Completed Date	14-5-2018

1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	X			
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	X			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	X			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	X			
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	X			
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?		X		

2. Contents



Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).					
Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document					X
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description				X	
The deliverable corresponds with the activities described in the Project Application Form					X
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?				X	
English quality of the text				X	
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?		No			
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?		No			

3. Suggested Improvements (Please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement

4. Any Other Observations (e.g. minor corrections that need attention - please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Observations



--	--	--

5. Final Conclusion (Mark with X the appropriate line)	
Document accepted, no changes required.	X
Document accepted but changes required.	
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	



Project Name	Smart Grid Technology - A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
Work Package Number & Title	WP8 Dissemination and sustainability
Deliverable Name	Dissemination Plan
Date of Latest Review	14-5-2018
Reviewer's Name	Nabil Abbasy
Reviewer's organization	Alexandria University
Completed Date	14-5-2018

1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	X			
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	X			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	X			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	X			
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	X			
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?		X		

2. Contents

Smart Grid Technology - A Master Program [SGT-MAP]

574219-EPP-1-2016-1-UK-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP



Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).					
Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document					X
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description					X
The deliverable corresponds with the activities described in the Project Application Form					X
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?					X
English quality of the text				X	
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?		No			
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?		No			

3. Suggested Improvements (Please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement

4. Any Other Observations (e.g. minor corrections that need attention - please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Observations



--	--	--

5. Final Conclusion (Mark with X the appropriate line)	
Document accepted, no changes required.	X
Document accepted but changes required.	
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	



Project Name	Smart Grid Technology - A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
Work Package Number & Title	WP8 Dissemination and sustainability
Deliverable Name	Dissemination Plan
Date of Latest Review	14-5-2018
Reviewer's Name	Ragi Hamdy
Reviewer's organization	Alexandria University
Completed Date	14-5-2018

1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	X			
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	X			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	X			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	X			
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	X			
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?		X		

2. Contents

Smart Grid Technology - A Master Program [SGT-MAP]

574219-EPP-1-2016-1-UK-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP



Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).					
Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document					X
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description				X	
The deliverable corresponds with the activities described in the Project Application Form					X
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?				X	
English quality of the text				X	
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?		No			
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?		No			

3. Suggested Improvements (Please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement

4. Any Other Observations (e.g. minor corrections that need attention - please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Observations



--	--	--

5. Final Conclusion (Mark with X the appropriate line)	
Document accepted, no changes required.	X
Document accepted but changes required.	
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	



Project Name	Smart Grid Technology - A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
Work Package Number & Title	WP8 Dissemination and Sustainability
Deliverable Name	SGT Dissemination_Plan
Date of Latest Review	15-03-2015
Reviewer's Name	Mahmoud Elmesalawy
Reviewer's organization	HU
Completed Date	15-03-2015

1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	x			
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	x			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	x			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	x			
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	x			
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?		x		

2. Contents



Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).					
Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document				x	
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description					x
The deliverable corresponds with the activities described in the Project Application Form					x
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?					x
English quality of the text					x
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?		Yes			
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?		Yes			

3. Suggested Improvements (Please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement
11	Methodology	From my point of view, this part should include the different activities and procedures that need to be followed by each partner to achieve the dissemination plan objectives, different teams, role of each team, coordination between those teams. For example (for any organized info. Sessions), the plan should include the following (the various roles of the partner that organize the info. Session, the role of AU as a leader of this activity, the role of other partners regarding to this activity, the coordination methodology between different partners regarding this activity, etc.). The same concept should be followed for the other dissemination tools and activities.
12	Results	From my point of view, this section should not be a part of the plan which is

		the achieved results and deliverables from applying the plan (not the plan itself). I recommend separating this part and formulate it on a separate deliverable report or several reports (one for each activity “website, info sessions, workshops, paper-based dissemination...”). The other recommendation is to add the results as appendices to the plan but not part of it, I think this will be more logic.
23	Paper-based dissemination	Why you did not include a snapshot from the developed banners and flyers used in our different conducted events such as info session, workshop, MEPCON conference, Erasmus+ day
35	Dissemination activities	I think this part should be shifted above and I think it will be very useful if it integrated with the methodology part as it shows globally the role of each partner related to each activity

4. Any Other Observations (e.g. minor corrections that need attention - please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Observations

5. Final Conclusion (Mark with X the appropriate line)

Document accepted, no changes required.	
Document accepted but changes required.	x
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	