



<b>Project Name</b>	Smart Grid Technology – A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
<b>Work Package Number &amp; Title</b>	<b>WP7 Quality Control and Monitoring of the SGT-MaP</b>
<b>Deliverable Name</b>	<b>Quality Assurance Plan (QAP)</b>
<b>Date of Latest Review</b>	<b>28-9-2017</b>
<b>Reviewer's Name</b>	<b>Ragi Hamdy</b>
<b>Reviewer's organization</b>	<b>AU</b>
<b>Completed Date</b>	<b>13-10-2017</b>

### 1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

<b>Evaluation Item</b>	<b>Y</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	<b>X</b>			
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	<b>X</b>			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	<b>X</b>			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	<b>X</b>			
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?		<b>X</b>		
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?		<b>X</b>		

### 2. Contents

Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).

<b>Evaluation Item</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
The clarity of the contents of the document				<b>X</b>	
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description				<b>X</b>	



The deliverable corresponds with the activities described in the Project Application Form				X	
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?				X	
English quality of the text				X	
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?		No			
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?		No			

### 3. Suggested Improvements (Please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement

### 4. Any Other Observations (e.g. minor corrections that need attention – please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Observations
		Line space and start of new page in some paragraphs Like last line in page 10 should be moved to page 11
		SGT-MAP should be replaced by SGT-MaP

### 5. Final Conclusion (Mark with X the appropriate line)

Document accepted, no changes required.	X
Document accepted but changes required.	
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	



<b>Project Name</b>	Smart Grid Technology – A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
<b>Work Package Number &amp; Title</b>	WP7 – Quality Control and Monitoring of the SGT-MAP
<b>Deliverable Name</b>	Quality Assurance Plan (QAP)
<b>Date of Latest Review</b>	14/09/2017
<b>Reviewer's Name</b>	Dr Khaled Ahmed
<b>Reviewer's organization</b>	University of Aberdeen
<b>Completed Date</b>	28/09/2017

### 1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	X			
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	X			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	X			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?		X		List of participants are missing
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	X			
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?		X		

### 2. Contents

Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).

Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document					X
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description					X
The deliverable corresponds with the activities described					X



in the Project Application Form					
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?					✘
English quality of the text					✘
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?		No			
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?		No			

**3. Suggested Improvements** (Please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement
12	4.2.4	Whatsup is misspelled

**4. Any Other Observations** (e.g. minor corrections that need attention – please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Observations

**5. Final Conclusion** (Mark with X the appropriate line)

Document accepted, no changes required.	
Document accepted but changes required.	✘
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	



<b>Project Name</b>	Smart Grid Technology – A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
<b>Work Package Number &amp; Title</b>	<b>WP7 – Quality Control and Monitoring of the SGT-MaP</b>
<b>Deliverable Name</b>	<b>Quality Assurance Plan</b>
<b>Date of Latest Review</b>	<b>September 2017</b>
<b>Reviewer's Name</b>	<b>Francesco Marcuzzi</b>
<b>Reviewer's organization</b>	<b>UNI-KLU</b>
<b>Completed Date</b>	<b>17/04/2018</b>

### 1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	X			
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	X			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	X			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	X			<b>There is a list of authors and reviewers</b>
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	X			
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?		X		<b>A few spelling and grammar errors, but overall clear and comprehensible.</b>

### 2. Contents

Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected  
evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).

Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document					X
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description					X



The deliverable corresponds with the activities described in the Project Application Form					X
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?					X
English quality of the text				X	
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?		No			
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?		No			

**3. Suggested Improvements** (Please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement

**4. Any Other Observations** (e.g. minor corrections that need attention – please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Observations

**5. Final Conclusion** (Mark with X the appropriate line)

Document accepted, no changes required.	X
Document accepted but changes required.	
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	



<b>Project Name</b>	Smart Grid Technology – A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
<b>Work Package Number &amp; Title</b>	<b>WP7 – QUALITY PLAN</b>
<b>Deliverable Name</b>	
<b>Date of Latest Review</b>	<b>8/9/2017</b>
<b>Reviewer's Name</b>	<b>Moustafa Heissa</b>
<b>Reviewer's organization</b>	<b>Helwan University</b>
<b>Completed Date</b>	

### 1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?				
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	x			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	x			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	x			
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	x			
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?		x		

### 2. Contents

Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected  
evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).

Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document					x
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description					x
The deliverable corresponds with the activities described					x



in the Project Application Form					
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?					<b>x</b>
English quality of the text				<b>x</b>	
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?		<b>NO</b>			
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?		<b>NO</b>			

**3. Suggested Improvements** (Please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement

**4. Any Other Observations** (e.g. minor corrections that need attention – please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Observations

**5. Final Conclusion** (Mark with X the appropriate line)

Document accepted, no changes required.	<b>x</b>
Document accepted but changes required.	
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	





<b>Project Name</b>	Smart Grid Technology – A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
<b>Work Package Number &amp; Title</b>	<b>WP7 – Quality Control and Monitoring of the SGT-MaP</b>
<b>Deliverable Name</b>	<b>Quality Assurance Plan</b>
<b>Date of Latest Review</b>	<b>September 2017</b>
<b>Reviewer's Name</b>	<b>Davide Righini</b>
<b>Reviewer's organization</b>	<b>UNI-KLU</b>
<b>Completed Date</b>	<b>17/04/2018</b>

### 1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	X			
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	X			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	X			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	X			<b>There is a list of authors and reviewers</b>
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	X			
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?		X		<b>A few spelling and grammar errors, but overall clear and comprehensible.</b>

### 2. Contents

Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected  
evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).

Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document					X
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description					X



The deliverable corresponds with the activities described in the Project Application Form					X
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?					X
English quality of the text				X	
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?		No			
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?		No			

**3. Suggested Improvements** (Please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement
7	3	From what I remember about the last project meeting in Klagenfurt, Khaled explained that the WP6 budget is cancelled, nevertheless the planned activities have to be completed. Therefore, leader, contact person and email should be defined in this page.

**4. Any Other Observations** (e.g. minor corrections that need attention – please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Observations

**5. Final Conclusion** (Mark with X the appropriate line)

Document accepted, no changes required.	
Document accepted but changes required.	X



Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	
---	--



<b>Project Name</b>	Smart Grid Technology – A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
<b>Work Package Number &amp; Title</b>	WP7 Quality plan
<b>Deliverable Name</b>	Quality Assurance Plan (QAP)
<b>Date of Latest Review</b>	8-9-2017
<b>Reviewer's Name</b>	Moustafa Eissa
<b>Reviewer's organization</b>	HU
<b>Completed Date</b>	

### 1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	X			
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	X			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	X			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	X			
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	X			
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?		X		

### 2. Contents

Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected  
evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).

Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document					X
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description					X
The deliverable corresponds with the activities described					X



in the Project Application Form					
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?				<b>X</b>	
English quality of the text				<b>X</b>	
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?	<b>N</b>				
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?	<b>N</b>				

**3. Suggested Improvements** (Please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement

**4. Any Other Observations** (e.g. minor corrections that need attention – please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Observations

**5. Final Conclusion** (Mark with X the appropriate line)

Document accepted, no changes required.	<b>X</b>
Document accepted but changes required.	
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	



<b>Project Name</b>	Smart Grid Technology – A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
<b>Work Package Number &amp; Title</b>	WP7 – Quality Control and Monitoring of the SGT-MAP
<b>Deliverable Name</b>	Quality Assurance Plan (QAP)
<b>Date of Latest Review</b>	14/09/2017
<b>Reviewer's Name</b>	Dr David Vega-Maza
<b>Reviewer's organization</b>	University of Aberdeen
<b>Completed Date</b>	28/09/2017

### 1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	X			Author's name is a team
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	X			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	X			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?				List of participants are missing
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	X			Calibri 11 or 12?
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?		X		

### 2. Contents

Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).

Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document					X
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description					X
The deliverable corresponds with the activities described					X



in the Project Application Form					
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?					✘
English quality of the text					✘
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?		No			
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?		No			

**3. Suggested Improvements** (Please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement
12	4.2.4	Whatsup is misspelled
8 & 13	4.1 & 4.2.5	Consistency in the definition of five points rating scale where: 5 is very positive and 1 is very negative in page 8 whereas 1 is poor and 5 is very good in page 13

**4. Any Other Observations** (e.g. minor corrections that need attention – please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Observations

**5. Final Conclusion** (Mark with X the appropriate line)

Document accepted, no changes required.	
Document accepted but changes required.	✘
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are	



---

implemented.	
--------------	--





<b>Project Name</b>	Smart Grid Technology – A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
<b>Work Package Number &amp; Title</b>	WP7 QUALITY ASSURANCE
<b>Deliverable Name</b>	Quality Assurance Plan
<b>Date of Latest Review</b>	
<b>Reviewer's Name</b>	Karim Hassan Youssef
<b>Reviewer's organization</b>	Alexandria University
<b>Completed Date</b>	15 April 2018

### 1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	X			
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	X			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	X			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	X			
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	X			
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?		X		

### 2. Contents

Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected  
evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).

Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document					X
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description					X
The deliverable corresponds with the activities described					X



in the Project Application Form					
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?				<b>X</b>	
English quality of the text				<b>X</b>	
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?		<b>X</b>			
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?		<b>X</b>			

**3. Suggested Improvements** (Please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement

**4. Any Other Observations** (e.g. minor corrections that need attention – please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Observations

**5. Final Conclusion** (Mark with X the appropriate line)

Document accepted, no changes required.	<b>X</b>
Document accepted but changes required.	
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	



<b>Project Name</b>	Smart Grid Technology – A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
<b>Work Package Number &amp; Title</b>	<b>WP7 – Quality Control and Monitoring of the SGT-MAP</b>
<b>Deliverable Name</b>	<b>Quality Assurance Plan</b>
<b>Date of Latest Review</b>	
<b>Reviewer's Name</b>	<b>Dr. Mohamed Ali</b>
<b>Reviewer's organization</b>	<b>Aswan University</b>
<b>Completed Date</b>	<b>22-4-2018</b>

### 1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

<b>Evaluation Item</b>	<b>Y</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	X			
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	X			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	X			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	X			
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	X			
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?		X		

### 2. Contents

Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected  
evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).

<b>Evaluation Item</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
The clarity of the contents of the document				X	
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description					X
The deliverable corresponds with the activities described					X



in the Project Application Form					
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?				<b>X</b>	
English quality of the text					<b>X</b>
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?	<b>No</b>				
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?	<b>No</b>				

**3. Suggested Improvements** (Please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement

**4. Any Other Observations** (e.g. minor corrections that need attention – please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Observations

**5. Final Conclusion** (Mark with X the appropriate line)

Document accepted, no changes required.	
Document accepted but changes required.	
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	



<b>Project Name</b>	Smart Grid Technology – A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
<b>Work Package Number &amp; Title</b>	WP7 – Quality Control and Monitoring of the SGT-MAP
<b>Deliverable Name</b>	Quality Assurance Plan (QAP)
<b>Date of Latest Review</b>	8/9/2017
<b>Reviewer's Name</b>	Moustafa Eissa
<b>Reviewer's organization</b>	HU
<b>Completed Date</b>	13-10-2017

### 1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	X			
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	X			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	X			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	X			
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	X			
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?		X		

### 2. Contents

Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected  
evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).

Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document					X
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description					X
The deliverable corresponds with the activities described					X



in the Project Application Form					
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?					X
English quality of the text				X	
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?		<b>No</b>			
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?		<b>No</b>			

**3. Suggested Improvements** (Please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement

**4. Any Other Observations** (e.g. minor corrections that need attention – please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Observations

**5. Final Conclusion** (Mark with X the appropriate line)

Document accepted, no changes required.	X
Document accepted but changes required.	
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	



<b>Project Name</b>	Smart Grid Technology – A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
<b>Work Package Number &amp; Title</b>	WP7 – Quality Control and Monitoring of the SGT-MAP
<b>Deliverable Name</b>	HU
<b>Date of Latest Review</b>	24 September 2017
<b>Reviewer's Name</b>	Mostafa Abdel-Geliel
<b>Reviewer's organization</b>	AAST
<b>Completed Date</b>	13 October 2017

### 1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	X			<b>Version number is not appear in the first page</b>
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	X			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	X			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	X			<b>Only partners without names or required specialist</b>
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	X			<b>The font of text 12 but in the official document is 11</b>
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?				

### 2. Contents

Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).

Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document				X	
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description					X
The deliverable corresponds with the activities described in the Project Application Form					X



How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?				<b>X</b>	
English quality of the text				<b>X</b>	
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?		<b>yes</b>			
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?		<b>yes</b>			

**3. Suggested Improvements** (Please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement
<b>5</b>	<b>1.</b>	<b>Part of executive summary should be merged in introduction and the result should moved to conclusion</b>
<b>6-10</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>It is better to add a flow chart or diagram to show of quality assurance cycle</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>Need to review</b>
<b>13</b>	<b>4.2.5</b>	<b>Equation need to be numbered and equation style</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Table of contents</b>	<b>I think if it is arranged as in official tamplte</b>

**4. Any Other Observations** (e.g. minor corrections that need attention – please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Observations
<b>3</b>	<b>List of abbreviations</b>	<b>It should be in a separate page</b>

**5. Final Conclusion** (Mark with X the appropriate line)

Document accepted, no changes required.	
Document accepted but changes required.	<b>X</b>
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	





<b>Project Name</b>	Smart Grid Technology – A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
<b>Work Package Number &amp; Title</b>	<b>WP7 – Quality Control and Monitoring of the SGT-MAP</b>
<b>Deliverable Name</b>	<b>Quality Assurance Plan</b>
<b>Date of Latest Review</b>	
<b>Reviewer's Name</b>	<b>Prof. Mohamed Orabi</b>
<b>Reviewer's organization</b>	<b>Aswan University</b>
<b>Completed Date</b>	<b>22-4-2018</b>

### 1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	X			
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	X			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	X			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	X			
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	X			
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?		X		

### 2. Contents

Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected  
evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).

Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document					X
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description				X	
The deliverable corresponds with the activities described					X



in the Project Application Form					
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?				<b>X</b>	
English quality of the text					<b>X</b>
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?	<b>No</b>				
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?	<b>No</b>				

**3. Suggested Improvements** (Please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement

**4. Any Other Observations** (e.g. minor corrections that need attention – please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Observations

**5. Final Conclusion** (Mark with X the appropriate line)

Document accepted, no changes required.	
Document accepted but changes required.	
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	



<b>Project Name</b>	Smart Grid Technology – A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
<b>Work Package Number &amp; Title</b>	WP7 Quality Assurance
<b>Deliverable Name</b>	Quality Assurance Plan
<b>Date of Latest Review</b>	14-5-2018
<b>Reviewer's Name</b>	Karim Youssef
<b>Reviewer's organization</b>	Alexandria University
<b>Completed Date</b>	14-5-2018

### 1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	X			
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	X			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	X			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	X			
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	X			
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?		X		

### 2. Contents

Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected  
evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).

Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document					X
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description				X	
The deliverable corresponds with the activities described					X



in the Project Application Form					
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?				<b>X</b>	
English quality of the text				<b>X</b>	
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?		<b>No</b>			
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?		<b>No</b>			

**3. Suggested Improvements** (Please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement

**4. Any Other Observations** (e.g. minor corrections that need attention – please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Observations

**5. Final Conclusion** (Mark with X the appropriate line)

Document accepted, no changes required.	<b>X</b>
Document accepted but changes required.	
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	



<b>Project Name</b>	Smart Grid Technology – A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
<b>Work Package Number &amp; Title</b>	WP7 Quality Assurance
<b>Deliverable Name</b>	Quality Assurance Plan
<b>Date of Latest Review</b>	14-5-2018
<b>Reviewer's Name</b>	Nabil Abbasy
<b>Reviewer's organization</b>	Alexandria University
<b>Completed Date</b>	14-5-2018

### 1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	X			
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	X			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	X			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	X			
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	X			
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?		X		

### 2. Contents

Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected  
evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).

Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document					X
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description					X
The deliverable corresponds with the activities described					X



in the Project Application Form					
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?				<b>X</b>	
English quality of the text				<b>X</b>	
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?		<b>No</b>			
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?		<b>No</b>			

**3. Suggested Improvements** (Please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement

**4. Any Other Observations** (e.g. minor corrections that need attention – please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Observations

**5. Final Conclusion** (Mark with X the appropriate line)

Document accepted, no changes required.	<b>X</b>
Document accepted but changes required.	
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	



<b>Project Name</b>	Smart Grid Technology – A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
<b>Work Package Number &amp; Title</b>	WP7 Quality Assurance
<b>Deliverable Name</b>	Quality Assurance Plan
<b>Date of Latest Review</b>	14-5-2018
<b>Reviewer's Name</b>	Ragi Hamdy
<b>Reviewer's organization</b>	Alexandria University
<b>Completed Date</b>	14-5-2018

### 1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	X			
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	X			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	X			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	X			
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	X			
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?		X		

### 2. Contents

Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected  
evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).

Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document					X
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description				X	
The deliverable corresponds with the activities described					X



in the Project Application Form					
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?				<b>X</b>	
English quality of the text				<b>X</b>	
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?		<b>No</b>			
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?		<b>No</b>			

**3. Suggested Improvements** (Please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement

**4. Any Other Observations** (e.g. minor corrections that need attention – please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Observations

**5. Final Conclusion** (Mark with X the appropriate line)

Document accepted, no changes required.	<b>X</b>
Document accepted but changes required.	
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	





<b>Project Name</b>	Smart Grid Technology – A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
<b>Work Package Number &amp; Title</b>	<b>WP7 – Quality Control and Monitoring of the SGT-MAP</b>
<b>Deliverable Name</b>	<b>Quality Assurance Plan</b>
<b>Date of Latest Review</b>	<b>8/9/2017</b>
<b>Reviewer's Name</b>	<b>Ramon Portillo</b>
<b>Reviewer's organization</b>	<b>US</b>
<b>Completed Date</b>	<b>9/10/2017</b>

### 1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	X			<b>Deliverable name isn't on cover page.</b>
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	x			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	x			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	x			
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	x			
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?		x		

### 2. Contents

Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).

Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document					x
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description					x
The deliverable corresponds with the activities described					x



in the Project Application Form					
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?				<b>x</b>	
English quality of the text					<b>x</b>
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?	<b>No</b>				
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?	<b>No</b>				

**3. Suggested Improvements** (Please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement

**4. Any Other Observations** (e.g. minor corrections that need attention – please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Observations
<b>12</b>	<b>4.2.4</b>	<b>'whatsup' replace by 'whatsapp'</b>
<b>13</b>	<b>4.2.5</b>	<b>Bad sentence end '... 5 is very good and'</b>

**5. Final Conclusion** (Mark with X the appropriate line)

Document accepted, no changes required.	
Document accepted but changes required.	<b>x</b>
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	



<b>Project Name</b>	Smart Grid Technology – A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
<b>Work Package Number &amp; Title</b>	<b>WP7 – Quality Control and Monitoring of the SGT-MAP</b>
<b>Deliverable Name</b>	<b>Quality Assurance Plan</b>
<b>Date of Latest Review</b>	<b>8/9/2017</b>
<b>Reviewer's Name</b>	<b>Sergio Vazquez</b>
<b>Reviewer's organization</b>	<b>US</b>
<b>Completed Date</b>	<b>15/10/2017</b>

### 1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	X			<b>Deliverable name isn't on cover page.</b>
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	x			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	x			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	x			
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	x			
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?		x		

### 2. Contents

Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).

Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document					x
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description					x
The deliverable corresponds with the activities described					x



in the Project Application Form					
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?				<b>x</b>	
English quality of the text					<b>x</b>
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?	<b>No</b>				
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?	<b>No</b>				

**3. Suggested Improvements** (Please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement

**4. Any Other Observations** (e.g. minor corrections that need attention – please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Observations
<b>12</b>	<b>4.2.4</b>	<b>'whatsup' should be changed to 'whatsapp'</b>
<b>13</b>	<b>4.2.5</b>	<b>Bad sentence end '... 5 is very good and'</b>

**5. Final Conclusion** (Mark with X the appropriate line)

Document accepted, no changes required.	
Document accepted but changes required.	<b>x</b>
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	



<b>Project Name</b>	Smart Grid Technology – A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
<b>Work Package Number &amp; Title</b>	<b>WP7 – Quality Control and Monitoring of the SGT-MAP</b>
<b>Deliverable Name</b>	<b>Quality Assurance Plan</b>
<b>Date of Latest Review</b>	
<b>Reviewer's Name</b>	<b>Dr. Mahmoud Gaafar</b>
<b>Reviewer's organization</b>	<b>Aswan University</b>
<b>Completed Date</b>	<b>22-4-2018</b>

### 1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

<b>Evaluation Item</b>	<b>Y</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	<b>X</b>			
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	<b>X</b>			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	<b>X</b>			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	<b>X</b>			
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	<b>X</b>			
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?		<b>X</b>		

### 2. Contents

Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).

<b>Evaluation Item</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
The clarity of the contents of the document					<b>X</b>
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description				<b>X</b>	
The deliverable corresponds with the activities described					<b>X</b>



in the Project Application Form					
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?					<b>X</b>
English quality of the text				<b>X</b>	
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?	<b>No</b>				
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?	<b>No</b>				

**3. Suggested Improvements** (Please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement

**4. Any Other Observations** (e.g. minor corrections that need attention – please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Observations

**5. Final Conclusion** (Mark with X the appropriate line)

Document accepted, no changes required.	
Document accepted but changes required.	
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	



<b>Project Name</b>	Smart Grid Technology – A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
<b>Work Package Number &amp; Title</b>	<b>WP7 Quality Control and Monitoring</b>
<b>Deliverable Name</b>	<b>Quality Plan</b>
<b>Date of Latest Review</b>	-
<b>Reviewer's Name</b>	<b>Noha Galal</b>
<b>Reviewer's organization</b>	<b>AASTMT</b>
<b>Completed Date</b>	<b>12/10/2017</b>

### 1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contain the following? WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	x			
Does the document contain all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	x			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	x			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?		x		
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	x			
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?	x			<b>Minor errors indicated in the document.</b>

### 2. Contents

Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected  
evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).

Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document				x	
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description			x		
The deliverable corresponds with the activities described				x	



in the Project Application Form					
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?				x	
English quality of the text				x	
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?	yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Internal and external review have to be fully described.</b></li> <li>• <b>A section about the organizational structure, responsibilities and assignment of roles to members is suggested to be added.</b></li> <li>• <b>Additional quality forms are necessary.</b></li> </ul>			
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?	No				

### 3. Suggested Improvements (Please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement
7	Project Quality assurance	Move the description of WPs in a separate section before this one.
9	4.2.1 Quality evaluation	Explicit detailed description of the internal and external evaluation is necessary.
14	4.2.6 Elaboration of questionnaires	May be moved after the external evaluation
16	4.4 Main list of quality Assurance Forms	Add: Meeting minutes, course description form, teaching material templates( lectures, tutorial, and lab), purchasing equipment/supplier evaluation form

### 4. Any Other Observations (e.g. minor corrections that need attention – please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Observations






<b>5. Final Conclusion (Mark with X the appropriate line)</b>	
Document accepted, no changes required.	
Document accepted but changes required.	x
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	



<b>Project Name</b>	Smart Grid Technology – A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
<b>Work Package Number &amp; Title</b>	WP7 – Quality Control and Monitoring of the SGT-MAP
<b>Deliverable Name</b>	
<b>Date of Latest Review</b>	8/9/2017
<b>Reviewer's Name</b>	Dr. Mohamed Ismeil
<b>Reviewer's organization</b>	Aswan University
<b>Completed Date</b>	6-10-2017

### 1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	Y			
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	Y			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	Y			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	N			
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	Y			
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?		N		

### 2. Contents

Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected  
evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).

Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document				X	
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description					X



The deliverable corresponds with the activities described in the Project Application Form				<b>X</b>	
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?			<b>X</b>		
English quality of the text				<b>X</b>	
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?	<b>Y</b>	<b>List of reviewers</b>  <b>List of tables</b>			
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?	<b>NO</b>				

**3. Suggested Improvements** (Please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement

**4. Any Other Observations** (e.g. minor corrections that need attention – please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Observations

**5. Final Conclusion** (Mark with X the appropriate line)

Document accepted, no changes required.	
Document accepted but changes required.	<b>X</b>
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	



<b>Project Name</b>	Smart Grid Technology – A Master Program (SGT-MAP)
<b>Work Package Number &amp; Title</b>	WP7 – Quality Control and Monitoring of the SGT-MAP
<b>Deliverable Name</b>	
<b>Date of Latest Review</b>	8/9/2017
<b>Reviewer's Name</b>	Prof. Mohamed Orabi
<b>Reviewer's organization</b>	Aswan University
<b>Completed Date</b>	8 -10-2017

### 1. Format

Please mark with X the appropriate column (Y: Yes, N: No and NA: Not applicable)

Evaluation Item	Y	N	NA	Comments
Does the document contains: the following: WP number, Deliverable name, Version, Author Name and Date?	Y			
Does the document contains all the necessary official logos of the project and the program?	Y			
Does the document include a Table of Contents?	Y			
Does the document include a list of participants and reviewers (approvals)?	N			
Does the document use the fonts and paragraphs defined in the official template?	Y			
Are there other remarks about the format of the document (spelling, grammar, etc)?		N		

### 2. Contents

Please mark with X the appropriate column for each item according to your selected  
evaluation rate from 1 (worst) to 5 (best).

Evaluation Item	1	2	3	4	5
The clarity of the contents of the document					X
The deliverable complies with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description					X



The deliverable corresponds with the activities described in the Project Application Form				<b>X</b>	
How is the treatment of the contents of the document regarding the required depth?				<b>X</b>	
English quality of the text				<b>X</b>	
Does the document need the addition of sections to reach completeness (Yes/No)?	<b>Y</b>	list of participants and reviewers			
Are there any sections in the document that should be removed (Yes/No)?	<b>NO</b>				

**3. Suggested Improvements** (Please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Suggested Improvement

**4. Any Other Observations** (e.g. minor corrections that need attention – please add rows as needed)

Page No.	Section	Observations

**5. Final Conclusion** (Mark with X the appropriate line)

Document accepted, no changes required.	
Document accepted but changes required.	X
Document not accepted, it must be reviewed after changes are implemented.	